

Fables And Facts About The King James Bible

Over the past few decades, new Bible translations have been popping up like popcorn. Many strong Christians have stood their ground and continued to believe, read, and study only the Authorized King James Bible. Many others, however, have forsaken the Book that God has used for centuries. Such people have fallen for smooth advertising schemes and have actually started believing that the modern versions are superior to the King James Bible. It's very sad that most Christians today have not taken time to study the subject thoroughly enough to see what is really happening.

Fables And Facts About The King James Bible. It's purpose is to better explain to Christians about the KJV by clearing up some of the fables that have been spread by the critics. Far too many Christians are accepting the Authorized Version by faith alone, rather than working and studying to become more grounded in some of the basic FACTS about this important issue. The following information should be helpful to the believer who desires to be readily armed with TRUTH.

FABLE: The King James Bible was revised several times before 1800, so modern translations are just additional revisions of the original King James Bible of 1611.

FACT: The so-called "revisions" of the King James Bible prior to 1800 were to correct typographical errors, add notes, and omit the Apocrypha from between the Testaments.

There were no changes in the actual TEXT of the King James Bible. The REAL changes (over 36,000 of them) didn't start until the modern revisionists came on the scene.

FABLE: The modern translations are more accurate because they have been translated from older and better manuscripts.

FACT: It is truly amazing how so many Christians have bought into this lie without ever checking to see WHAT these manuscripts are, WHERE they came from, and WHO wrote them.

Its also strange that no one seems to be asking the question, "*Has God honoured these 'older' and 'better' manuscripts throughout Church History?*"

The modern translations are based on the work of two nineteenth century Greek scholars from England-B.F. Westcott and F. J. A. Hort. Westcott and Hort, who were deeply involved in the occult, hated the Textus Receptus Greek text, from which the King James Bible was translated, so they conjured up THEIR OWN Greek text.

This Westcott and Hort Greek text was based primarily on two very corrupt fourth century ROMAN CATHOLIC manuscripts: Codex Vaticanus (discovered in the Pope's library in 1481) and Sinaiticus (discovered in 1859 in a rubbish can at St. Catherine's monastery on Mt. Sinai).

For more information contact:

AUSTRALIAN BIBLE MINISTRIES PO Box 5058 MT Gravatt East 4122 Qld, Australia

www.australianbibleministries.com

These are usually the "older" and "better" manuscripts that we keep hearing so much about. These manuscripts support most of the new versions.

The Vaticanus is considered to be the most authoritative, although it is responsible for over thirty-six *thousand changes* that appear today in the new versions.

This perverted manuscript contains the books of the pagan Apocrypha, which are not scripture; it omits the pastoral epistles (I Timothy through Titus), the Book of Revelation, and it cuts off the Book of Hebrews at Hebrews 9:14 (a very convenient stopping point for the Catholic Church, since God forbids their priesthood in Hebrews 10!).

The attacks on the word of God found in these manuscripts originated in Alexandria, Egypt with the deceitful work of such pagan Greek "scholars" as Origen and Clement of Alexandria.

Then in 313 A.D, the Roman emperor Constantine ordered fifty copies of " the Bible" from Eusebius, the Bishop of Caesaria. Eusebius, being a devout student of Origen's work, chose to send him manuscripts filled with *Alexandrian corruption*, rather than sending him the true word of God in the SYRIAN text from Antioch, Syria.

So the corrupt Alexandrian text (also called the "Egyptian" or "Hesychian" type text) found it's way into the Vatican manuscript, then eventually into the Westcott and Hort Greek Text, and finally into the new " Bible" versions in your local "Christian" bookstore.

Therefore, when you hear or read of someone "correcting" the King James Bible with "older" or "more authoritative" manuscripts, you are simply hearing someone trying to use a ROMAN CATHOLIC text to overthrow the God - honoured text of the Protestant Reformation and the great revivals. God has never honoured this corrupt text and He never will.

FABLE: New translations are needed to-correct the errors and contradictions in the King James Bible.

FACT: No one has ever proven that there are errors and contradictions in the KJV. Many "Christian" colleges and preachers have a nasty habit of pointing out APPARENT contradictions to their people, but these arguments have been disproven so many times that it is nothing less than disgusting to hear them still being used.

FABLE: New Translations are needed to bring the archaic Old English language up to date. People have trouble understanding the language of the King James Bible.

FACT: The King James language is NOT hard to understand. Most of the so-called "archaic" words are explained by the context of the passage or by comparing the passage with other passages in the Bible where the same word is used. Heady and high-minded people resent the King James language because it is plain and simple, and it isn't in tune with their high-minded vocabulary. In fact, the Grade Level

For more information contact:

AUSTRALIAN BIBLE MINISTRIES PO Box 5058 MT Gravatt East 4122 Qld, Australia

www.australianbibleministries.com

Indicator of the Flesch - Kincaid research company says the King James language is **EASIER** to understand than the new versions.

We certainly agree that the language of the King James Bible is a unique language, but why shouldn't it be? It's the **WORD OF GOD!**

FABLE: The King James Bible cannot be infallible because the translators were only men, and all men are sinners. The human element prevents the KJV from being infallible.

FACT: If this is true, then even the **ORIGINAL AUTOGRAPHS** in Hebrew and Greek were not infallible, because they too were penned by men!

The fact of the matter is that the King James translators were only **INSTRUMENTS** of preservation (which is exactly what they called themselves in the Dedicatory to the A.V. 1611).

God has always been the Divine Preserver of His word (Psa. 12:6-7), but He has used men as tools and instruments of preservation, just as He uses men to teach and preach His words. When men humbly yield themselves to the will of God, God can use them to accomplish His will (Rom. 12:12), and this is precisely what happened between 1604 and 1611.

FABLE: The King James translators added to the word of God, because the italicised words in the KJV were not in the originals.

FACT: The italics in the KJV prove that the translators were **HONEST** in their work. They set the words in italics so we'd know they were not in the manuscripts they were using.

Besides, no one has a copy of the original manuscripts today, so no one knows for certain that the italicised words aren't in them.

In fact, there are many cases where we know that the italicised words are justified. For example, notice in Deuteronomy 8:3 that the word "word" is in italics. However, when Jesus quotes this verse in Matthew 4:4 he **INCLUDES** the italicised word! If the italicised word does not belong in the Bible, why did the Lord Jesus quote it?

FABLE: The original King James Bible included the Apocrypha in the Old Testament.

FACT: The King James translators knew the Apocrypha was not scripture, so they placed it **BETWEEN** the Old and New Testament as a **HISTORICAL DOCUMENT**, not as scripture.

Don't be deceived by the crafty critics of God's word. LEARN THE FACTS.

"I have no greater joy than to hear that my children walk in truth " (III John 4)

By James L Melton, reprinted with permission.